

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/792,045	03/03/2004	Brian E. Probst	03190	4731
7590	07/20/2005		EXAMINER	
Thomas R. Shaffer			SEMBER, THOMAS M	
5 East Third Street	i e		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PO Box 509 Coudersport, PA 16915			2875	TALER NOMBER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/792,045	PROBST ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas M. Sember	2875				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence add	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this con ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status						
· <u> </u>	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 June 2004</u> .					
,	,—					
·— ··	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	ex parte Quayle, 1000 0.	D. 11, 400 O.O. 210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Will Holly Colloid Gladian.		,			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 17-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-16</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
The dath of declaration is objected to by the L	Adminior. Note the attach	ed Office Action of Tomir 1	102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 	its have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority			Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies no	ot received.				
		•				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A\ ☐ Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date	1.50			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06/01/04.	5)	f Informal Patent Application (PTO	-152)			
S. Debast and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claims 1-2, 8, 10-12 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Maglica '772. Maglica '772 discloses a flashlight head 23 having a light emitting object 60 positioned therein; an electrically conducting battery chamber adapted to be removably attached to said flashlight head, said battery chamber 21 adapted to receive and make an electrical connection with at least one cell; and a wave spring 39 positioned between said electrically conducting battery chamber and said flashlight head 23 whereby said wave spring is at least partially compressed when said battery chamber is attached to said flashlight head and an electrical connection is made between said at least one cell positioned in said battery chamber and said light emitting object through said wave spring. Regarding claim 2, flashlight head further comprises a reflector 101.

Application/Control Number: 10/792,045 Page 3

Art Unit: 2875

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maglica '772 in view of Witte. Maglica '772 discloses the claimed invention except for the teaching of the light source being used. Witte teaches that various light emitters such as incandescent lamps or white LEDs can be used with the flashlight. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute an incandescent lamp or LED for the light emitter of Maglica '772 in order to provide an alternatively effective and efficient light emitter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maglica '772 in view of Huang. Maglica '772 discloses the claimed invention except for the teaching of the positive terminal spring. Huang teaches a positive coil spring for making

Application/Control Number: 10/792,045 Page 4

Art Unit: 2875

electrical connection from light emitter and battery. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the flashlight of Maglica '772 to include the positive coil spring in order to efficiently connect the light emitter to the battery.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maglica '772 in view of Maglica '265. Maglica '772 discloses the claimed invention except for specific teaching that the flashlight head or battery chamber is made of stainless steel or aluminum. Maglica '265 teaches a flashlight head and battery chamber made of stainless steel or aluminum. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the flashlight of Maglica '772 so as to made of aluminum or stainless steel as taught by Maglica '265 in order to provide a long lasting durable flashlight.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 13-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Galli discloses a flashlight similar to applicant's invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas M. Sember whose telephone number is 571-272-2381. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8 A.M- 5.30 p.m. first Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571-272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/792,045 Page 6

Art Unit: 2875

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas M Sember Primary Examiner Art Unit 2875
